

This form is intended for use by an ethics committee (EC) associated with a SIDCER. This is part of the process of the SIDCER Recognition Program. An EC will complete this form as part of the initial steps for EC survey application.

The person completing this form should have extensive knowledge about the EC being surveyed (usually the Secretariat) and should be able to answer questions and provide documentation regarding the EC.

EC NAME:	
ADDRESS:	
CONTACT PERSON:	
BRIEF INTRODUCTION OF THE EC:	
Year established: Institutional affiliation:	

Common types of protocols reviewed:



BRIEF INTRODUCTION OF EC MEMBERS AND STAFF

	EC Composition						
Name	Profession & Credentials	Fie	Field		ation	Gen	der
Nume	Troression a creatmas	Med Non-Med		Yes	No	М	F



SECTION	ITEM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS	
	STRUCTURE AND COMPOSITION OF EC						
Α	(structure, composition and skills of the EC and staff are appropriate to the amount and nature of						
	research reviewed)						
A1	MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS (at least 5 members)	oers, g	gende	r bala	nce, e	experience, non-scientific	
7(1	and affiliated members and terms and conditio	ns of	appo	intme	nt)		
A 1.1	Does the EC have at least 5 members?]]]]		
	(ICH 3.2.1)	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш		
A1.2	Do the members contain a diversity of						
	gender? (WHO 4)			Ш	Ш		
A1.3	Does EC have at least one non-affiliated						
	member?						
	(ICH 3.2.1, WHO 4)						
A1.4	Does the EC membership contain non-						
	scientific member or lay person?						
	(ICH 3.2.1, WHO 4)						
A1.5	Does EC membership consist of members						
	with appropriate expertise for the research						
	reviewed?						
	(ICH 3.2.1, WHO 4)						
A1.6	Does the EC describe the party responsible						
	for appointing members?						
	(WHO 4.1.1)						
A1.7	Do the EC members possess the required						
	experience, knowledge, skill and relevant						
	abilities to perform their duties? (WHO 4)						



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS
A1.8	Does the EC policy and procedures describe					
	the selection process of its members?					
	(WHO 4.1.2, ICH 3.3.1)					
A1.9	Do the EC terms describe the duration of					
	appointment for its members?					
	(WHO 4.2.1)					
A1.10	Do the EC terms describe the policy for the					
	renewal of appointment for its members?					
	(WHO 4.2.2)					
A1.11	Do the EC terms describe the disqualification					
	procedure of its members?					
	(WHO 4.2.3)					
A1.12	Do the EC terms describe the resignation					
	procedure for its members?					
	(WHO 4.2.4)					
A1.13	Do the EC terms describe the replacement					
	procedures for its members?					
	(WHO 4.2.5)					
A1.14	Does the EC maintain a list of all its members					
	with their current CV.?					
	(ICH 3.2.1)					
A1.15	Does EC member sign a confidentiality					
	agreement? (WHO 4.3.3)					
A1.16	Are EC members willing to publicize full					
	name, profession and affiliation?					
	(ICH 3.4. WHO 4.3.1)					



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS	
	ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS.						
A2	(Adequate number of administrators to oversee	the E	EC act	ivities	, have	e documentation of the	
	functions and activities of staff and their terms and conditions of appointment)						
A2.1	Does the EC have sufficient staff (full-time or						
	part-time) to meet its functions and						
	responsibilities? (WHO 4.4)						
A2.2	Does the EC have a description of						
	requirements for holding offices?						
	(WHO 4.4)						
A2.3	Does the EC policy describe duration,						
	disqualification, resignation and replacement						
	procedures for its offices?						
	(WHO 4.4)						
A2.4	Does the EC have documentation explaining						
	the duties, obligations and responsibilities of						
	its offices? (WHO 4.4)						
A2.5	Does the EC have an office space?	П					
	(WHO 4.4)						
A2.6	Does the EC have the necessary equipments		П				
	to run the office? (WHO 4.4)						
A2.7	Does the EC have available budget to meet		П				
	its functions and responsibilities?	Ш	Ш				
A2.8	Does EC document reimbursement for work						
	and expenses and is this made available to		П				
	the public upon request?	Ш		$ \Box \Box$	'		
	(WHO 4.3.2)						



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS
	TRAINING OF EC MEMBERS					
A3	(EC needs to state and observe the provisions a	availal	ole fo	r its n	nemb	ers to receive introductory
	and continuous education)					
A3.1	Does the members' condition of					
	appointment state the provisions for them to					
	receive introductory and ongoing training?					
	(WHO 4.7)					
A3.2	Did members of the EC receive an					
	introductory training?					
	(WHO 4.7)					
A3.3	Are EC members continually being trained to					
	enhance their capacity for ethical review?					
	(WHO 4.7)					
A3.4	Does the EC review and document trainings					
	obtained by its members and staff?					
	(WHO 4.7)					
A4	MANAGEMENT OF CONFLICTS					
	(EC should have a policy to address conflicts of	finter	ests)			
A4.1	Does the EC have a process of managing,					
	minimizing or eliminating conflicts of interest?					
	(WHO 4.1.3)					
	ADHERENCE TO SPECIFIC POLICIES					
В	(EC to have appropriate management and oper	ationa	al pro	cedur	es for	optimal and systematic
	conduct of ethical review)					
D.4	EC MANAGEMENT					
B1	(EC to have terms of reference)					



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS	
B1.1	Does the EC have terms of reference which						
	includes its scope, objectives, activities,						
	organization and management?						
	(WHO 4)						
D.O.	AVAILABILITY OF SOP						
DΖ	(EC should have an SOP that covers its function and activities which they comply						
B2.1	Does the EC have written SOP?]		
	(ICH 3.2.2. WHO 4)		Ш	Ш			
B2.2	Does the SOP cover all functions and reviews						
	undertaken by the EC?						
	(ICH 3.2.2. WHO 4)						
B2.3	Does the EC comply with the written SOP?]]		
	(ICH 3.2.2. WHO 4)			Ш	Ш		
B2.4	Is the SOP reviewed and revised as	[[
	necessary?	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш		
B2.5	Does EC make their SOP publicly available?	_]		
	(ICH 3.2.2.)		Ш	Ш	Ш		
В3	SUBMISSION GUIDELINES AND PROCESS						
63	(EC should have a submission guideline including	ng its	requi	remer	its and	d forms)	
B3.1	Does the EC have any guidance on how to						
	submit protocols?						
	(WHO 5.1)						
B3.2	Does the EC have an application form?	_					
	(WHO 5.2.2)	Ш		Ш	Ш		
B3.3	Does the EC indicate the format for						
	submission? (WHO 5.2.3)		╽Ш	Ш			



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS
B3.4	Does the EC indicate the number of copies of application to be submitted? (WHO 5.2.6)					
B3.5	Does the EC indicate the application procedures for protocol amendments and continuing review? (WHO 5.2.2)					
B3.6	Does the EC have an informed consent guidance/template which it made available to investigators to help with the preparation of the document?					
B3.7	Does the EC have a registration procedure (tracking system) for the applications made for review?					
B3.8	Does the EC specify the name and address of the EC secretariat to whom the application should be submitted? (WHO 5.2.1)					
B3.9	Does the EC have means of acknowledging applications made to them? (WHO 5.2.8)					
B3.10	Does the EC communicate the incompleteness of an application?					
B3.11	Does the EC indicate fee structure, if any, for reviewing an application? (WHO 5.2.11)					



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS
B3.12	Does the EC indicate that application forms					
	should be signed and dated?					
	(WHO 5.3.1)					
B3.13	Does the EC request that protocol be					
	submitted together with supporting					
	documents and annexes?					
	(ICH 3.1.2, WHO 5.3.2)					
B3.14	Does EC request submission of the project					
	summary and diagrammatic representative					
	(flow chart) of the protocol?					
	(WHO 5.3.3)					
B3.15	Does EC request submission of a description					
	of the ethical considerations involved in the					
	research?					
	(WHO 5.3.4)					
B3.16	Does EC request submission of case report					
	forms, diary cards and other questionnaires					
	intended for research participants?					
	(WHO 5.3.5)					
B3.17	When a research involves a study product					
	does the EC request submission an adequate					
	summary of the study product?					
	(ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.36)					
B3.18	Does EC request submission of the					
	investigators CV?					
	(ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.3.7)					



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	C	D	COMMENTS
B3.19	Does EC request submission of the materials to be used for the recruitment of potential research participants? (ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.3.8)					
B3.20	Does EC request submission of the informed consent form? (ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.3.10)					
B3.21	Does EC request submission of a statement describing any compensation for study participants? (ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.3.12)					
B3.22	Does EC request submission of a description of the arrangements for indemnity if applicable? (WHO 5.3.13)					
B3.23	Does EC request submission of a description of the arrangements for insurance coverage if applicable? (WHO 5.3.14)					
B3.24	Does EC request submission of a statement of agreement to comply with ethical principles set out in relevant guidelines? (WHO 5.3.15)					
B3.25	Does EC request submission of all significant previous decisions by the EC or regulatory authorities for the proposed study? (WHO 5.3.16)					



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS
	MEETING REQUIREMENTS					
B4	(EC should have documented meeting requirer	nents	which	n they	/ com	ply with, quorum and
	professional requirements)					
B4.1	Does the EC meet regularly on scheduled					
	date announced in advance?					
	(ICH 3.2.2 WHO 6.1.1)					
B4.2	Does the EC form a quorum before holding					
	its meeting?					
	(WHO 4.5)					
B4.3	Does the EC require that at least one non					
	affiliated member and a non scientist be part					
	of a quorum for each of its meeting?					
	(WHO 4.5.2)					
B4.4	Does the EC require that meetings should be					
	minuted and there should be an approval					
	procedure for the minutes?					
	(WHO 4.5.2)					
	COMPLETENESS OF ITS REVIEW PROCESS					
С	(EC review protocols and its supporting docume	ents ir	n a tir	nely f	ashior	n according to an
	established procedure to protect the interest of	f rese	arch _l	oartici	pants)
C1	REVIEW PROCESS (enough time for protocol re	view,	EC to	have	docu	mented and detailed review
C1	process which is complied with)					
C1.1	Does the EC follow the operating procedure					
	for review?					
	(ICH 3.3, WHO 6)					



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS
C1.2	Does the EC review protocols and all					
	relevant documents within a reasonable time					
	frame? (ICH 3.1.2, WHO 6.1.2)					
C1.3	Does the EC have an established procedure					
	for expedited review?					
	(ICH 3.3.5, WHO 6)					
C1.4	Does the EC indicate the nature of the					
	application, amendments, continuing review					
	and other considerations that will be eligible					
	for expedited review?					
	(ICH 3.3.5, WHO 6.3.1)					
C1.5	Does the EC have policies and procedures					
	that describe the process used to evaluate					
	whether research reviewed by the expedited					
	procedures meets the criteria for review?					
	(ICH 3.3.5, WHO 6.3.3)					
C1.6	Does the EC have an established procedure					
	for full board review?					
	(WHO 6.2)					
C1.7	Does the EC have an established process for					
	obtaining additional expertise when reviewing					
	specific protocols?					
	(ICH 3.3.6, WHO 4.6)					_
C1.8	Does the EC have terms of reference for					
	independent consultants?					
	(WHO 4.6)					



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS		
C1.9	Does the EC have an established process for							
	inviting applicants/investigators to elaborate							
	on specific issues when applicable?							
	(ICH 3.2.5)							
	ELEMENTS OF REVIEW							
C2	(EC to have a policy and procedure for review, elements reviewed should include the scientific							
	design and conduct and ethics)							
C2.1	Does the EC have a policy and procedure for							
	reviewing protocols? (WHO 6.2)							
C2.2	Does the EC review the scientific design and							
	conduct of the study?							
	(WHO 6.2.1)							
C2.3	Does the EC review the justification for the							
	use of control arms?							
	(WHO 6.2.1.3)							
C2.4	Does the EC review the criteria for							
	prematurely withdrawing research							
	participants? (WHO 6.2.1.4)							
C2.5	Does the EC review the criteria for							
	suspending or terminating the research?							
	(WHO 6.2.1.5)							
C2.6	Does the EC have justification of predictable							
	risks and inconveniences weighed against the							
	anticipated benefits for the research							
	participants and concerned communities?							
	(WHO 6.2.1.2)							



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS
C2.7	Does the EC review the adequacy of					
	provisions made for monitoring and auditing					
	the conduct of the research, including the					
	constitution of a data safety and monitoring		Ш	Ш		
	board (DSMB)?					
	(WHO 6.2.1.6)					
C2.8	Does the EC review the manner in which the					
	results of the research will be reported and	П		П	П	
	published?		Ш	ш		
	(WHO 6.2.1.8)					
C2.9	Does the EC review whether the risk posed to					
	research subjects is reasonable in relation to					
	its anticipated benefits?	Ш	ш	Ш	Ш	
	(WHO 6.2.1.2)					
C2.10	Does the EC follow the established					
	procedure for determining if potential risks					
	posed to the vulnerable population are	ш	Ш	ш		
	acceptable? (ICH 3.1.6)					
C2.11	Does the EC review the description of the					
	informed consent process and the					
	identification of those responsible for	Ш	ш	Ш	Ш	
	obtaining it? (WHO 6.2.5.1)					
C2.12	Does the EC review the informed consent					
	focusing on measures to improve participant					
	understanding and voluntary decision		╵	╵│└┘		
	making? (WHO 6.2.5.2)					



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C2.13	Does the EC review justification to include					
	research individual that cannot consent and					
	account of the arrangements for obtaining					
	consent?					
	(ICH 3.1.6, WHO 6.2.5.3)					
C2.14	Does the EC have and follow the established					
	procedure to determine if the vulnerable					
	subjects are protected in the consent					
	process?					
	(ICH 3.1.5)					
C2.15	Does the EC have and follow the established					
	procedure in reviewing the consent process					
	in emergency situation in research protocol?		Ш	Ш		
	(ICH 3.1.2)					
C2.16	Does the EC review the information assuring					
	research participants that they will receive					
	available information during the course of					
	the research relevant to their participation?					
	(WHO 6.2.5.4)					
C2.17	Does the EC review the provisions made by					
	researchers for receiving and responding to					
	queries and complaints from participants or					
	representatives during the course of the					
	research?					
	(WHO 6.2.5.5)					



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS
C2.18	Does the EC review the suitability of the investigators qualifications and experience for the proposed study?					
	(ICH 3.1.3, WHO 6.2.3.1)					
C2.19	Does the EC review any plans to withdraw or					
	withhold standard therapies for the purpose					
	of the research and the justification for such					
	action?					
	(WHO 6.2.3.2)					
C2.20	Does the EC review the steps to be taken if					
	research participants voluntarily withdraw		П	П		
	during the course of the research?]				
	(WHO 6.2.3.5)					
C2.21	Does EC have and follow an established					
	procedure in evaluating the protection of					
	privacy and confidentiality of the research					
	participants during and after the completion					
	of the research? (WHO 6.4)					
C2.22	Does the EC have and follow established					
	procedure to determine if the vulnerable					
	subjects are properly protected?		Ш	Ш	Ш	
	(ICH 3.1.6)					
C2.23	Does EC have and follow procedures of					
	determining whether the method used to					
	recruit the research subjects is acceptable or	Ш		Ш		
	not? (WHO 6.2.2)					



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C2.24	Does the EC review the description of the					
	plan to make the study product available to		П	П	П	
	research participants following the research if					
	applicable? (WHO 6.2.3.8)					
C2.25	Does the EC have and follow established					
	procedure for evaluating the inclusion and					
	exclusion criteria?	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	
	(WHO 6.2.2.4, 6.2.2.5)					
C2.26	Does the EC have and follow established					
	procedure for evaluating the characteristics of					
	the population from which participants are					
	drawn?					
	(WHO 6.2.2.1)					
C2.27	Does EC have methods of ensuring that					
	additional safe guards are included to protect					
	the rights and welfare in research involving					
	vulnerable populations?					
	(ICH 3.1.6, 3.1.7)					
C2.28	Does the EC review payment for research					
	participants to determine if it will unduly					
	influence them to participate in research?	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	
	(ICH 3.1.8, WHO 6.3.2.10)					
C2.29	Does the EC review compensation for					
	research participants to determine if it they					
	adequately compensated for injury?	Ш	Ш			
	(ICH 3.1.9, WHO 6.3.2.11)					



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS
C2.30	Does EC review the standard of care and					
	other post trial benefits offered to					
	participants?				Ш	
	(WHO 6.3.2.3)					
C2.31	Does the EC review the impact and relevance					
	of research on the local community from	П		_		
	which the research participants are drawn?	Ш			Ш	
	(WHO 6.3.6.1)					
C2.32	Does the EC review the steps taken to					
	consult with the concerned communities	П		_		
	during the course of the designing of the					
	research? (WHO 6.3.6.2)					
C2.33	Does the EC review the influence of the					
	community on the consent of individuals?					
	(WHO 6.3.6.3)					
C2.34	Does the EC review proposed community					
	consultation during the course of the					
	research? (WHO 6.3.6.4)					
C2.35	Does the EC review the extent to which					
	research contributes to capacity building					
	within the community? (WHO 6.3.6.5)					
C2.36	Does the EC review a description of the					
	availability and affordability of any successful					
	study product to the concerned communities					
	following the research?					
	(WHO 6.3.6.6)					



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS			
C2.37	Does the EC review the rights to give subjects								
	additional information when the additional								
	information would add meaningfully to the		П						
	protection of the rights, safety and/or well-		Ш						
	being of the subjects?								
	(WHO 6.2.5.4)								
C3	AFTER PROTOCOL APPROVAL								
CJ	(EC to document and follow procedures of reviews of amendments, continuing, SAE reports)								
C3.1	Does the EC have continuing review?]]				
	(ICH 3.1.4, 3.3.3, WHO 9)								
C3.2	Does the EC have and follow an established								
	procedure for determining the frequency of								
	continuing review?		Ш						
	(ICH 3.1.4, WHO 9.2)								
C3.3	Does the EC have and follow an established								
	procedure for handling modification								
	(amendments) of research protocol?		Ш	Ш	Ш				
	(ICH 3.2.7, WHO 9.3)								
C3.4	Does the EC have documents required for								
	continuous review and is this list made			_]				
	available to investigators?		Ш	Ш	Ш				
C3.5	Does the EC consider the submitted relevant								
	information and documents in its continuing			_					
	review?	Ш		Ш					
	(WHO 9.3)								



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C3.6	Does the EC have and follow an established procedure to notify investigators when it will conduct a continuing review? (ICH 3.1.4, WHO 9.4)					
C3.7	Does ERC have and follows policies and procedures for suspending or terminating previously approved research if need be based on findings in monitoring or continuing review? (WHO 9.4)					
C3.8	Does the EC require the investigator to notify the EC in writing of the reasons and a summary of the research results when applicant prematurely suspend or terminate the study? (WHO 9.5)					
C3.9	Does EC do a follow up review when serious and unexpected adverse events occur as a result of the conduct of the study or study (test) product and necessary steps need to be instituted to protect participants? (WHO 9.3b)					
C3.10	Does the EC specify that no deviations from, or changes of, the protocol should be initiated without prior written IRB/IEC approval/favorable opinion of an appropriate amendment? (ICH 3.3.7)					



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS
C3.11	Does the EC specify that the investigator					
	should promptly report to the IRB/IEC any					
	deviations from, or changes of, the protocol					
	to eliminate immediate hazards to the trial					
	subjects?					
	(ICH 3.3.8, WHO 9.3c)					
C3.12	Does the EC specify that the investigator					
	should promptly report to the IRB/IEC					
	changes increasing the risk to subjects and/or					
	affecting significantly the conduct of the trial?					
	(ICH 3.3.8, WHO 9.3c)					
C3.13	Does the EC specify that the investigator					
	should promptly report to the IRB/IEC all					
	adverse drug reactions (ADRs) that are both					
	serious and unexpected?					
	(ICH 3.3.8)					
C3.14	Does the EC specify that the investigator					
	should promptly report to the IRB/IEC any					
	new information that may affect adversely]	
	the safety of the subjects or the conduct of	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	
	the trial?					
	(ICH 3.3.8)					
C3.15	Does the EC require the applicant to notify					
	the EC the time of completion of a study?]	
	(WHO 9.6)	Ш				



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS	
C3.16	Does the EC require the applicant to submit						
	in writing at the completion of the study a						
	final report describing how the study was						
	conducted and a summary of the study						
	results? (WHO 9.7)						
C4	COMPLETENESS OF IEC/IRB MEETING MINUTES						
C4	(minutes should be a complete record and reflect actions taken during the meeting)						
C4.1	Does the EC record and keep minutes of its						
	meeting? (ICH 3.2.2, WHO 6.1.3)		Ш		1		
C4.2	Does the EC record in its minute members						
	present for each meeting, members voted		_]		
	and all the actions that took place during the	Ш	Ш	Ш	_		
	meeting? (ICH 3.1.2)						
C4.3	Does the minutes record protocols and						
	documents reviewed, the dates of approval,						
	modifications required prior to its approval or		_				
	disapproval and termination/suspension of		Ш	Ш			
	any prior approval?						
	(ICH 3.1.2)						
C4.4	Does the EC have an approval procedure for]	[]	[
	its minutes? (WHO 6.1.3)	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш		
C5	DECISION MAKING PROCESS						
C5	(EC should have a procedure for decision makin	ng and	d men	nbers	shoul	d participate in the process)	
C5.1	Are decisions only made in meetings where a						
	quorum is present?						
	(ICH 3.2.3, WHO 7.3)						



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS
C5.2	Does EC ensure that only members who					
	participate in the review should participate in					
	the decision?					
	(ICH 3.2.4, WHO 7.5)					
C5.3	Are all relevant documents required for full					
	review available and considered before a					
	decision is made?					
	(WHO 7.4)					
C5.4	Does the EC have a predefined method of					
	arriving at a decision e.g. by consensus or					
	vote?					
	(WHO 7.6)					
C5.5	Does the EC ensure that members with					
	conflicts of interest are not part of the					
	decision?					
	(WHO 7.1)					
C5.6	Do the EC members have sufficient time to					
	review and discuss before a decision is					
	made? (WHO 7.2)					
C5.7	When a decision is made to re-review a					
	protocol, does the EC clearly document the					
	areas needed to be revised?					
	(WHO 7.8)					
C5.8	Are negative decisions supported with clearly					
	stated reasons?					
	(WHO 7.9)					



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS		
D	AFTER REVIEW PROCESS							
J	(EC should adequately and effectively communicates its decision to investigators)							
D1	COMMUNICATING A DECISION (EC have an effective and timely way of communicating a							
DI	decision with clearly stated reasons)							
D1.1	Are the conclusions of a decision							
	communicated in writing to the applicant							
	within 14 days? (WHO 8)							
D1.2	Does the EC clearly specify areas that need							
	to be revised when communicating a							
	provisional approval decision to investigators?							
	(ICH 3.3.9, WHO 7.4)							
D1.3	Does the decision letter include the exact							
	title of the protocol reviewed?							
	(WHO 8.1)							
D1.4	Does the decision letter include the specific							
	identification number of the documents							
	reviewed including the informed consent							
	form? (WHO 8.2)							
D1.5	Does the decision letter include the name							
	and title of the applicant(s)? (WHO 8.4)							
D1.6	Does the decision letter include the date and							
	place of the decision?							
	(WHO 8.6)							
D1.7	Does the decision letter include the name of							
	the EC taking the decision?							
	(WHO 8.7)							



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	C	D	COMMENTS		
D1.8	Does the decision letter include a statement							
	of the responsibilities of the applicant?							
	(ICH 3.3.6, 3.3.7, WHO 8.11)							
D1.9	Does the decision letter include the signature							
	of the chairperson (or other authorized							
	person) and date?							
	(WHO 8.14)							
D1.10	Does the EC inform investigators of its re-							
	review procedure, schedule/plan of ongoing							
	review? (WHO 8.12)							
D1.11	Does the EC issue suspension or termination							
	letters with reasons for suspension or							
	termination (or the conditions of lifting							
	suspension or termination) clearly stated?							
	(ICH 3.3.9, WHO 9.5)							
D1.12	Does the decision documentation clearly							
	explain how the applicant can communicate							
	with the EC?							
	(WHO 8.11)							
E	DOCUMENTATION AND ARCHIVING							
	(EC systematically document and archive its activities for a good time period)							
E1.1	Does the EC have and follow operating							
	procedures for record keeping and archiving							
	of all records and communication							
	documents?							
	(ICH 3.4, WHO 10)							



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS
E1.2	Does EC have and follow operation					
	procedure for the access or retrieve of					
	various documents, files or archives?					
	(ICH 3.4, WHO 10)					
E1.3	Does the filing, archiving, accessing and					
	retrieving of the documents meet the					
	established procedures?					
	(ICH 3.4, WHO 10)					
E1.4	Does the EC maintain a complete file or					
	database of all the relevant materials in each					
	research protocol?			Ш		
	(WHO 10.7)					
E1.5	Does the EC follow the requirement to retain					
	all the records for at least 3 years after the			П	П	
	completion of investigation?	Ш			Ш	
	(ICH 3.4, WHO 10)					
E1.6	Could all the relevant records be inspected					
	by the appropriate authority?					
	(ICH 3.4, WHO 10)					
E1.7	Does the EC document its SOPs and terms of			П		
	reference? (WHO 10.1)		Ш	Ш		
E1.8	Does the EC document the CV of all its					
	members? (WHO 10.2)	Ш	Ш	Ш		
E1.9	Does the EC document its published					
	guideline for submission of protocols?					
	(WHO 10.4)					



SECTION	ITAM	Α	В	С	D	COMMENTS
E1.10	Does the EC document the agenda and					
	minutes of its meetings?					
	(WHO 10.5, 10.6)					
E1.11	Does the EC document copies of its decision					
	and any advice or requirements sent to the			,		
	applicants?					
	(WHO 10.9)					
E1.12	Does the EC document all the written					
	documentations received during the follow-up?					
	(WHO 10.10)					
E1.13	Does the EC document the notification of					
	completion, premature suspension or					
	termination of study?				Ш	
	(WHO 10.11)					
E1.14	Does the EC document the final report of the					
	study?					
	(WHO 10.12)					